



Future Land Use Subcommittee

Meeting Summary

March 9, 2010 • 4:30 p.m.

The Ohio State University Endeavor Center
1862 Shyville Road, Piketon, OH 45661

Subcommittee Members Present: Shirley Bandy, Frank Halstead, Michael Lilly, Sharon Manson, Michael Payton, and Cristy Renner

Board Members Present: Gene Brushart, Val Francis, Steve Martin, Dan Minter, Daniel Moore, Larry Parker, and Dick Snyder

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Representatives: Greg Simonton

DOE Employees and Contractors: Melda Rafferty and Kristi Wiehle, DOE; Sandy Childers, Bill Franz, and Jeff Pinkerton, LATA/Parallax (LPP); Yvette Cantrell, Janie Croswait, and Lesley Cusick, Restoration Services, Inc. (RSI)

Liaisons: Michael Rubadue, Ohio Dept of Health; Maria Galanti, Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA)

Support Staff: Julie Galloway, Brandy Moore, and Eric Roberts, EHI

Public: Lee Blackburn, Jackson, Ohio; Stephanie Howe and Marsha Lewis, Ohio University Voinovich Group

Sharon Manson, Subcommittee Chair, opened the Future Land Use meeting.

1. Review of January Summary:

- **Halstead** motioned to accept the Summary, **Motion seconded.**
 - **Motion carried**

2. Reindustrialization Presentation:

- **Roberts** introduced **Lesley Cusick**, RSI.
- **Cusick** provided a presentation entitled *The Reindustrialization Concept - Could it Be Part of the Future Vision for PORTS?* In this presentation, Cusick discussed reindustrialization efforts made at the East Tennessee Technology Park, Oak Ridge, TN.

A copy of this handout is available online at <http://www.ports-ssab.org/10-03FLUPres.pdf>.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
Francis asked if Oak Ridge had developments outside that were private entities.	Cusick stated that there were a mix of other industries. They started as incumbent industries until their business grew and then they would expand.
Francis asked if there is still subsurface radiation could the land still be transferred.	Cusick stated that clean parcels can be transferred as long as the waste is clean and not linked to any other waste. Cusick also stated as an example that Oak Ridge transferred a clean parcel that has a free fill dirt sign posted.
Galanti asked if a transfer is stated for industrial use could it still be changed to residential.	Cusick stated that the NEPA bounded everything to be industrial use.
Francis asked in municipality reasons would the zoning law supersede the NEPA requirements.	Cusick stated that zoning purposes are attached to the NEPA requirements and rural zoning codes could be defined.

3. Introduction to Ohio University Voinovich Group:

- **Roberts** introduced Stephanie Howe and Marsha Lewis from the Voinovich School of Leadership and Public Affairs.
- **Howe** stated that they are very happy to be here and that they learned a lot during the meeting. Howe stated that they are working on the details of the contract at this time.

Question/Comment:	Answer:
Brushart asked what strategies the group plans to use and how many counties will be surveyed.	Howe stated they will survey large service resource areas during public events, county fairs, anything to get a broad cross-section. The contract is still in the early planning stages and they will talk with county officials to see how many counties will be surveyed.

4. Public Comment Period:

- **Lee Blackburn** stated that he had a couple questions he would like to ask. He asked if the public could have an update on the DOE/USEC 340-acre transfer and could DOE provide information about the NRC reportable incident that occurred at the 760 Building.
- **Simonton** stated that the background of 340-acre proposal is in the process of being investigated now.

- **Gene Brushhart** stated that there are a lot more resources out now to guiding group technical aspects and suggested that the Board contact the Director at the Technical Division of Ohio Department of Development.

5. Other Issues:

- **Manson** asked the members to review and provide comments on the survey from Frank Halstead at the next subcommittee meeting.
- **Roberts** reviewed the action item list that was handed out, stated that everyone has had time to review Recommendation 10-02 and asked the members if they were ready to proceed to send this to the Board.
 - **Lilly** moved to send Recommendation 10-02 to the full Board for review,
Motion seconded.
 - **Motion carried**

6. Action Items:

1. DOE will check with Mark Gilberson about the Energy Parks presentation.
2. DOE will verify the information on the NRC reportable incident that occurred at the 760 Building.

Halstead motioned to adjourn meeting, **Motion seconded. Motion carried**

- **Meeting adjourned**

Next Meeting Tuesday, April 13, 2010, at 6:30 p.m.